

in writing and the plaintiff replies generally thereto, and this cause being
pending in this court, more than a year for the motion of the plaintiff
by his attorney it is ordered that the Circuit Court of this County
for trial

about, G. A. W. Norton, versus James Maget
The Commonwealth
against
James Euden

Plff }
Def. }

The Defendant in this case pleads not guilty and issue being joined
and thereupon came a jury, To-wit: James Drake, James Butler, John
L. Coy, Winthrop Gray, Matthew Dorell, Calver Thompson, Wm. Bluth,
James Bryant, Jesse Blythe, Jos. T. Vick, Wm. Vick, and Richard Figgerts
who being elected, did and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue
joined upon their oath returned a verdict in the following words:
"We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess his fine at five
dollars". Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Commonwealth
recover against the defendant the fine of five dollars assessed as
aforesaid and the costs of this prosecution. And the said
Defendant may be taken to

Matthew Mumford

against
Elizabeth McClellany

Plff }
Def. }

The Plaintiff in this case failing to comply with the
rule made against him at November Term 1850 for the payment
of the costs and damages which may be awarded to the defendant, and
also the fees which will become due to the officers of this Court:
on the motion of the defendant by her attorney it is ordered that this
suit be dismissed, and that the plaintiff pay to the defendant her costs
by her about her defence in this behalf expended.

Exam Bryant
against

William Vick

Plff }
Def. }

This day came the defendant by his attorney and pleads not
guilty to which the plaintiff replies generally and thereupon came
a jury To-wit: Jos. M. Smiley, Jm. L. Bishop, Wm. Moore,
Mumford, Matthew Killiam, Henry Newton, Robt Murray, Jm. Moore,
Jesse S. Barham, Wm. Mumfer, Robert Litch, and Benj. S. Waller
who being elected, did and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue
joined upon their oath return a verdict in the following words:
"We of the jury find for the plaintiff Twelve dollars being
double the damages which we assess". Therefore it is considered
by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant
the sum of twelve dollars the double damages aforesaid and
interest thereon from the 19th day of August 1857, and his
costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended.

And the said defendant in money &c.

307

Wm A. Masfembury

John M. Smiley
Delia Vick son
against
F. J. Mahon
Richard D. Clum

Robert S. Stoh
William Mumfer
against
John M. Smiley
for reason
until the next

Math. & Co. L
against
St. S. Smith

This
quishing his
interest and
by the Court
sum of Twenty
the 18th day
about his
in money

David Keig
against
L. R. Edwards

This day
jury To-wit:
and sworn to
return a
the plaintiff
Therefore it
execution
to a half
eight, cents
1836 Tel-
writ afo-
against the
and prosec-
chattels of
ministert

John M.
against
L. R. Edg-
for
continued